

Statistics for the Life Sciences

FIFTH EDITION

Myra L. Samuels Jeffrey A. Witmer Andrew A. Schaffner





STATISTICS FOR THE LIFE SCIENCES

Fifth Edition **Global Edition**

Myra L. Samuels

Purdue University

Jeffrey A. Witmer

Oberlin College

Andrew A. Schaffner

California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo

PEARSON

Boston Columbus Indianapolis New York San Francisco Hoboken Amsterdam Cape Town Dubai London Madrid Milan Munich Paris Montréal Toronto Delhi Mexico City São Paulo Sydney Hong Kong Seoul Singapore Taipei Tokyo Editor in Chief: Deirdre Lynch Editorial Assistant: Justin Billing Assistant Acquisitions Editor, Global Edition: Murchana Borthakur Associate Project Editor, Global Edition: Binita Roy Program Manager: Tatiana Anacki Program Team Lead: Marianne Stepanian Project Team Lead: Christina Lepre Media Producer: Jean Choe Senior Marketing Manager: Jeff Weidenaar Marketing Assistant: Brooke Smith Senior Author Support/Technology Specialist: Joe Vetere Rights and Permissions Advisor: Diahanne Lucas Procurement Specialist: Carol Melville Senior Manufacturing Controller, Production, Global Edition: Trudy Kimber Design Manager: Beth Paquin **Cover Design:** Lumina Datamatics Production Management/Composition: Sherrill Redd/iEnergizer Aptara®, Ltd. Cover Image: © Holly Miller-Pollack/Shutterstock

Acknowledgements of third party content appear on page 636, which constitutes an extension of this copyright page.

PEARSON, ALWAYS LEARNING, is an exclusive trademark in the U.S. and/or other countries owned by Pearson Education, Inc. or its affiliates.

Pearson Education Limited Edinburgh Gate Harlow Essex CM20 2JE England

and Associated Companies throughout the world

Visit us on the World Wide Web at: www.pearsonglobaleditions.com

© Pearson Education Limited 2016

The rights of Myra L. Samules, Jeffrey A. Witmer, and Andrew A. Schaffner to be identified as the authors of this work have been asserted by them in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

Authorized adaptation from the United States edition, entitled Statistics for the Life Sciences, 5th edition, ISBN 978-0-321-98958-1, by Myra L. Samuels, Jeffrey A. Witmer, and Andrew A. Schaffner, published by Pearson Education © 2016.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without either the prior written permission of the publisher or a license permitting restricted copying in the United Kingdom issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd, Saffron House, 6–10 Kirby Street, London EC1N 8TS.

All trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners. The use of any trademark in this text does not vest in the author or publisher any trademark ownership rights in such trademarks, nor does the use of such trademarks imply any affiliation with or endorsement of this book by such owners.

ISBN 10: 1-292-10181-4 ISBN 13: 978-1-292-10181-1

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

 $10\ 9\ 8\ 7\ 6\ 5\ 4\ 3\ 2\ 1$

Typeset in 9 New Aster LT Std by iEnergizer Aptara[®], Ltd.

Printed and bound in Malaysia.

CONTENTS

Preface 6

Unit I Data and Distributions

INTRODUCTION 11

- I.I Statistics and the Life Sciences II
- 1.2 Types of Evidence 17
- 1.3 Random Sampling 26

2 Description of Samples and Populations 37

- 2.1 Introduction 37
- 2.2 Frequency Distributions 39
- 2.3 Descriptive Statistics: Measures of Center 50
- 2.4 Boxplots 55
- 2.5 Relationships between Variables 62
- 2.6 Measures of Dispersion 69
- 2.7 Effect of Transformation of Variables* 77
- 2.8 Statistical Inference 82
- 2.9 Perspective 88

PROBABILITY AND THE BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION 93

- 3.1 Probability and the Life Sciences 93
- 3.2 Introduction to Probability 93
- 3.3 Probability Rules* 104
- 3.4 Density Curves 109
- 3.5 Random Variables 112
- 3.6 The Binomial Distribution 118
- 3.7 Fitting a Binomial Distribution to Data* 126

4 The Normal Distribution **132**

- 4.1 Introduction 132
- 4.2 The Normal Curves 134
- 4.3 Areas under a Normal Curve 136

- 4.4 Assessing Normality 143
- 4.5 Perspective 153

SAMPLING DISTRIBUTIONS 156

- 5.1 Basic Ideas 156
- 5.2 The Sample Mean 160
- 5.3 Illustration of the Central Limit Theorem* 170
- 5.4 The Normal Approximation to the Binomial Distribution* 173
- 5.5 Perspective 179

Unit I Highlights and Study 181

Unit II Inference for Means

- Confidence Intervals 186
 - 6.1 Statistical Estimation 186
 - 6.2 Standard Error of the Mean 187
 - 6.3 Confidence Interval for μ 192
 - 6.4 Planning a Study to Estimate μ 203
 - 6.5 Conditions for Validity of Estimation Methods 206
 - 6.6 Comparing Two Means 215
 - **6.7** Confidence Interval for $(\mu_1 \mu_2)$ **221**
 - 6.8 Perspective and Summary 227

Comparison of Two Independent Samples 233

- 7.1 Hypothesis Testing: The Randomization Test 233
- 7.2 Hypothesis Testing: The *t* Test 239
- 7.3 Further Discussion of the *t* Test 251
- 7.4 Association and Causation 259
- 7.5 One-Tailed t Tests 267
- 7.6 More on Interpretation of Statistical Significance 278

- 7.7 Planning for Adequate Power* 285
- 7.8 Student's t: Conditions and Summary 291
- 7.9 More on Principles of Testing Hypotheses 295
- 7.10 The Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney Test 301

8 Comparison of Paired Samples 317

- 8.1 Introduction 317
- 8.2 The Paired-Sample *t* Test and Confidence Interval 320
- 8.3 The Paired Design 329
- 8.4 The Sign Test 335
- 8.5 The Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test 341
- 8.6 Perspective 346

Unit II Highlights and Study 356

Unit III Inference for Categorical Data

9 CATEGORICAL DATA: ONE-SAMPLE DISTRIBUTIONS 365

- 9.1 Dichotomous Observations 365
- 9.2 Confidence Interval for a Population Proportion 370
- 9.3 Other Confidence Levels* 376
- 9.4 Inference for Proportions: The Chi-Square Goodness-of-Fit Test 378
- 9.5 Perspective and Summary 388

10 CATEGORICAL DATA: RELATIONSHIPS 393

- 10.1 Introduction 393
- **10.2** The Chi-Square Test for the 2×2 Contingency Table **397**
- 10.3 Independence and Association in the 2 \times 2 Contingency Table 404
- 10.4 Fisher's Exact Test* 412
- **10.5** The $r \times k$ Contingency Table **417**

- 10.6 Applicability of Methods 423
- 10.7 Confidence Interval for Difference Between Probabilities 427
- **10.8** Paired Data and 2 \times 2 Tables* **429**
- **10.9** Relative Risk and the Odds Ratio* **432**
- 10.10 Summary of Chi-Square Test 440
- Unit III Highlights and Study 445

Unit IV Modeling Relationships

- 11 Comparing the Means of Many Independent Samples 452
 - II.I Introduction 452
 - 11.2 The Basic One-Way Analysis of Variance 456
 - 11.3 The Analysis of Variance Model 465
 - 11.4 The Global F Test 467
 - 11.5 Applicability of Methods 472
 - 11.6 One-Way Randomized Blocks Design 476
 - 11.7 Two-Way ANOVA 488
 - Linear Combinations of Means* 497
 - 11.9 Multiple Comparisons* 505
 - 11.10 Perspective 515
- 2 Linear Regression and Correlation 521
 - 12.1 Introduction 521
 - **12.2** The Correlation Coefficient **523**
 - 12.3 The Fitted Regression Line 535
 - 12.4 Parametric Interpretation of Regression: The Linear Model 547
 - **12.5** Statistical Inference Concerning β_1 **553**
 - 12.6 Guidelines for Interpreting Regression and Correlation 559
 - 12.7 Precision in Prediction* 571
 - 12.8 Perspective 574
 - 12.9 Summary of Formulas 585

Unit IV Highlights and Study	594	Statistic	cal Tables**
12 A SUMMARY OF INFERENCE		Table I	Table I Random Digits*
METHODS 603		Table 2	Binomial Coefficients ${}_{n}C_{j}^{*}$
		Table 3	Areas Under the Normal Curve
13.1 Introduction 60313.2 Data Analysis Examples 605		Table 4	Critical Values of Student's <i>t</i> Distribution
Chapter Appendices** 619		Table 5	Sample Sizes Needed for Selected Power Levels for Independent-Samples t Test*
Chapter Notes** 626		Table 6	Critical Values and <i>P</i> -Values of <i>U_s</i> for the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney Test*
Answers to Selected Exercises	628	Table 7	Critical Values and P-Values of B _s for the Sign Test*
Credite (24		Table 8	Critical Values and <i>P</i> -Values of W _s for the Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test*
Creatts 030			Critical Values of the Chi-Square Distribution
Index 637		Table 10	Critical Values of the F Distribution*
Index of Examples 646		Table 11	Bonferroni Multipliers for 95% Confidence Intervals*

*Indicates optional chapters

**Selected Chapter Appendices, Chapter References and Selected Chapter Tables can be found on www.pearsonglobaleditions.com/ Samuels *Statistics for the Life Sciences* is an introductory text in statistics, specifically addressed to students specializing in the life sciences. Its primary aims are (1) to show students how statistical reasoning is used in biological, medical, and agricultural research; (2) to enable students to confidently carry out simple statistical analyses and to interpret the results; and (3) to raise students' awareness of basic statistical issues such as randomization, confounding, and the role of independent replication.

Style and Approach

The style of *Statistics for the Life Sciences* is informal and uses only minimal mathematical notation. There are no prerequisites except elementary algebra; anyone who can read a biology or chemistry textbook can read this text. It is suitable for use by graduate or undergraduate students in biology, agronomy, medical and health sciences, nutrition, pharmacy, animal science, physical education, forestry, and other life sciences.

Use of Real Data Real examples are more interesting and often more enlightening than artificial ones. *Statistics for the Life Sciences* includes hundreds of examples and exercises that use real data, representing a wide variety of research in the life sciences. Each example has been chosen to illustrate a particular statistical issue. The exercises have been designed to reduce computational effort and focus students' attention on concepts and interpretations.

Emphasis on Ideas The text emphasizes statistical ideas rather than computations or mathematical formulations. Probability theory is included only to support statistical concepts. The text stresses interpretation throughout the discussion of descriptive and inferential statistics. By means of salient examples, we show why it is important that an analysis be appropriate for the research question to be answered, for the statistical design of the study, and for the nature of the underlying distributions. We help the student avoid the common blunder of confusing statistical nonsignificance with practical insignificance and encourage the student to use confidence intervals to assess the magnitude of an effect. The student is led to recognize the impact on real research of design concepts such as random sampling, randomization, efficiency, and the control of extraneous variation by blocking or adjustment. Numerous exercises amplify and reinforce the student's grasp of these ideas.

The Role of Technology The analysis of research data is usually carried out with the aid of a computer. Computer-generated graphs are shown at several places in the text. However, in studying statistics it is desirable for the student to gain experience working directly with data, using paper and pencil and a hand-held calculator, as well as a computer. This experience will help the student appreciate the nature and purpose of the statistical computations. The student is thus prepared to make intelligent use of the computer—to give it appropriate instructions and properly interpret the output. Accordingly, most of the exercises in this text are intended for hand calculation. However, electronic data files are provided

at www.pearsonglobaleditions.com/Samuels for many of the exercises, so that a computer can be used if desired. Selected exercises are identified as **Computer Problems** to be completed with use of a computer. (Typically, the computer exercises require calculations that would be unduly burdensome if carried out by hand.)

Organization

This text is organized to permit coverage in one semester of the maximum number of important statistical ideas, including power, multiple inference, and the basic principles of design. By including or excluding optional sections, the instructor can also use the text for a one-quarter course or a two-quarter course. It is suitable for a terminal course or for the first course of a sequence.

The following is a brief outline of the text.

Unit I: Data and Distributions

Chapter 1: Introduction. The nature and impact of variability in biological data. The hazards of observational studies, in contrast with experiments. Random sampling. **Chapter 2: Description of distributions.** Frequency distributions, descriptive statis-

tics, the concept of population versus sample.

Chapters 3, 4, and 5: Theoretical preparation. Probability, binomial and normal distributions, sampling distributions.

Unit II: Inference for Means

Chapter 6: Confidence intervals for a single mean and for a difference in means.

Chapter 7: Hypothesis testing, with emphasis on the *t* **test.** The randomization test, the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test.

Chapter 8: Inference for paired samples. Confidence interval, *t* test, sign test, and Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

Unit III: Inference for Categorical Data

Chapter 9: Inference for a single proportion. Confidence intervals and the chisquare goodness-of-fit test.

Chapter 10: Relationships in categorical data. Conditional probability, contingency tables. Optional sections cover Fisher's exact test, McNemar's test, and odds ratios.

Unit IV: Modeling Relationships

Chapter 11: Analysis of variance. One-way layout, multiple comparison procedures, one-way blocked ANOVA, two-way ANOVA. Contrasts and multiple comparisons are included in optional sections.

Chapter 12: Correlation and regression. Descriptive and inferential aspects of correlation and simple linear regression and the relationship between them.

Chapter 13: A summary of inference methods.

Most sections within each chapter conclude with section-specific exercises. Chapters and units conclude with supplementary exercises that provide opportunities for students to practice integrating the breadth of methods presented within the chapter or across the entire unit. Selected statistical tables are provided at the back of the book; other tables are available at www.pearsonglobaleditions.com/Samuels. The tables of critical values are especially easy to use because they follow mutually consistent layouts and so are used in essentially the same way.

Optional appendices at the back of the book and available online at www. pearsonglobaleditions.com/Samuels give the interested student a deeper look into such matters as how the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney null distribution is calculated.

Changes to the Fifth Edition

- Chapters are grouped by unit, and feature Unit Highlights with reflections, summaries, and additional examples and exercises at the end of each unit that often require connecting ideas from multiple chapters.
- We added material on randomization-based inference to introduce or motivate most inference procedures presented in this text. There are now presentations of randomization methods at the beginnings of Chapters 7, 8, 10, 11, and 12.
- New exercises have been added throughout the text. Many exercises from the previous edition that involved calculation and reading tables have been updated to exercises that require interpretation of computer output.
- We replaced many older examples throughout the text with examples from current research from a variety life science disciplines.
- Chapter notes have been updated to include references to new examples. These are now available online at www.pearsonglobaleditions.com/Samuels with some selected notes remaining in print.

Instructor Supplements

Instructor's Solutions Manual (downloadable) (ISBN-13: 978-1-292-10183-5; ISBN-10: 1-292-10183-0) Solutions to all exercises are available as a downloadable manual from Pearson Education's online catalog at www.pearsonglobaleditions. com/Samuels. Careful attention has been paid to ensure that all methods of solution and notation are consistent with those used in the core text.

PowerPoint Slides (downloadable) (ISBN-13: 978-1-292-10184-2; ISBN-10: 1-292-10184-9) Selected figures and tables from throughout the textbook are available as downloadable PowerPoint slides for use in creating custom PowerPoint lecture presentations. These slides are available for download at www.pearsonglobaleditions. com/Samuels.

Student Supplements

Data Sets The larger data sets used in examples and exercises in the book are available as .csv files at www.pearsonglobaleditions.com/Samuels

StatCrunch™ StatCrunch is powerful web-based statistical software that allows users to perform complex analyses, share data sets, and generate compelling reports of their data. The vibrant online community offers tens of thousands of shared data sets for students to analyze.

- **Collect.** Users can upload their own data to StatCrunch or search a large library of publicly shared data sets, spanning almost any topic of interest. Also, an online survey tool allows users to quickly collect data via web-based surveys.
- **Crunch.** A full range of numerical and graphical methods allows users to analyze and gain insights from any data set. Interactive graphics help users understand statistical concepts and are available for export to enrich reports with visual representations of data.
- **Communicate.** Reporting options help users create a wide variety of visually appealing representations of their data.

StatCrunch access is available to qualified adopters. StatCrunch Mobile is now available—just visit www.statcrunch.com/mobile from the browser on your smart-phone or tablet. For more information, visit our website at www.StatCrunch.com, or contact your Pearson representative.

Acknowledgments for the Fifth Edition

The fifth edition of *Statistics for the Life Science* retains the style and spirit of the writing of Myra Samuels. Prior to her tragic death from cancer, Myra wrote the first edition of the text, based on her experience both as a teacher of statistics and as a statistical consultant. We hope that the book retains her vision.

Many researchers have contributed sets of data to the text, which have enriched the text considerably. We have benefited from countless conversations over the years with David Moore, Dick Scheaffer, Murray Clayton, Alan Agresti, Don Bentley, George Cobb, and many others who have our thanks.

We are grateful for the sound editorial guidance and encouragement of Katherine Roz. We are also grateful for adopters of the earlier editions, particularly Robert Wolf and Jeff May, whose suggestions led to improvements in the current edition. Finally, we express our gratitude to the reviewers of this edition:

Jeffrey Schmidt (University of Wisconsin-Parkside), Liansheng Tang (George Mason University), Tim Hanson (University of South Carolina), Mohammed Kazemi (University of North Carolina–Charlotte), Kyoungmi Kim (University of California, Davis), and Leslie Hendrix (University of South Carolina)

Special Thanks

To Merrilee, for her steadfast support. JAW

To Michelle, for her patience and encouragement, and for my sons, Ganden and Tashi, for their curiosity and interest in learning something new every day. AAS

Pearson wishes to thank and acknowledge the following people for their work on the Global Edition:

Contributor

C. V. Vinay, JSS Academy of Technical Education Dilip Nath, Gauhati University

Reviewers

D. V. Chandrashekhar, *Vivekananda Institute of Technology* Sunil Jacob John, *National Institute of Technology Calicut* D. V. Jayalakshmamma, *Vemana Institute of Technology*

INTRODUCTION

Chapter

OBJECTIVES

In this chapter we will look at a series of examples of areas in the life sciences in which statistics is used, with the goal of understanding the scope of the field of statistics. We will also

- explain how experiments differ from observational studies.
- discuss the concepts of placebo effect, blinding, and confounding.
- discuss the role of random sampling in statistics.

1.1 Statistics and the Life Sciences

Researchers in the life sciences carry out investigations in various settings: in the clinic, in the laboratory, in the greenhouse, in the field. Generally, the resulting data exhibit some *variability*. For instance, patients given the same drug respond somewhat differently; cell cultures prepared identically develop somewhat differently; adjacent plots of genetically identical wheat plants yield somewhat different amounts of grain. Often the degree of variability is substantial even when experimental conditions are held as constant as possible.

The challenge to the life scientist is to discern the patterns that may be more or less obscured by the variability of responses in living systems. The scientist must try to distinguish the "signal" from the "noise."

Statistics is the science of understanding data and of making decisions in the face of variability and uncertainty. The discipline of statistics has evolved in response to the needs of scientists and others whose data exhibit variability. The concepts and methods of statistics enable the investigator to describe variability and to plan research so as to take variability into account (i.e., to make the "signal" strong in comparison to the background "noise" in data that are collected). Statistical methods are used to analyze data so as to extract the maximum information and also to quantify the reliability of that information.

We begin with some examples that illustrate the degree of variability found in biological data and the ways in which variability poses a challenge to the biological researcher. We will briefly consider examples that illustrate some of the statistical issues that arise in life sciences research and indicate where in this book the issues are addressed.

The first two examples provide a contrast between an experiment that showed no variability and another that showed considerable variability.

Example 1.1.1

Vaccine for Anthrax Anthrax is a serious disease of sheep and cattle. In 1881, Louis Pasteur conducted a famous experiment to demonstrate the effect of his vaccine against anthrax. A group of 24 sheep were vaccinated; another group of 24 unvaccinated sheep served as controls. Then, all 48 animals were inoculated with a virulent culture of anthrax bacillus. Table 1.1.1 shows the results.¹ The data of Table 1.1.1 show no variability; all the vaccinated animals survived and all the unvaccinated animals died.

Table 1.1.1 Response of sheep to anthrax				
	Treatment			
Response	Vaccinated	Not vaccinated		
Died of anthrax	0	24		
Survived	24	0		
Total	24	24		
Percent survival	100%	0%		

Example

Bacteria and Cancer To study the effect of bacteria on tumor development, researchers used a strain of mice with a naturally high incidence of liver tumors. One group of mice were maintained entirely germ free, while another group were exposed to the intestinal bacteria *Escherichia coli*. The incidence of liver tumors is shown in Table 1.1.2.²

Table 1.1.2 Incidence of liver tumors in mice				
	Treatment			
Response	E. coli	Germ free		
Liver tumors	8	19		
No liver tumors	5	30		
Total	13	49		
Percent with liver tumors	62%	39%		

In contrast to Table 1.1.1, the data of Table 1.1.2 show variability; mice given the same treatment did not all respond the same way. Because of this variability, the results in Table 1.1.2 are equivocal; the data suggest that exposure to *E. coli* increases the risk of liver tumors, but the possibility remains that the observed difference in percentages (62% versus 39%) might reflect only chance variation rather than an effect of *E. coli*. If the experiment were replicated with different animals, the percentages might change substantially.

One way to explore what might happen if the experiment were replicated is to simulate the experiment, which could be done as follows. Take 62 cards and write "liver tumors" on 27 (= 8 + 19) of them and "no liver tumors" on the other 35 (= 5 + 30). Shuffle the cards and randomly deal 13 cards into one stack (to correspond to the *E. coli* mice) and 49 cards into a second stack. Next, count the number of cards in the "*E. coli* stack" that have the words "liver tumors" on them—to correspond to mice exposed to *E. coli* who develop liver tumors—and record whether this number is greater than or equal to 8. This process represents distributing 27 cases of liver tumors to two groups of mice (*E. coli* and germ free) randomly, with *E. coli* mice no more likely, nor any less likely, than germ-free mice to end up with liver tumors.

If we repeat this process many times (say, 10,000 times, with the aid of a computer in place of a physical deck of cards), it turns out that roughly 12% of the time we get 8 or more *E. coli* mice with liver tumors. Since something that happens 12% of the time is not terribly surprising, Table 1.1.2 does not provide significant evidence that exposure to *E. coli* increases the incidence of liver tumors.

In Chapter 10 we will discuss statistical techniques for evaluating data such as those in Tables 1.1.1 and 1.1.2. Of course, in some experiments variability is minimal and the message in the data stands out clearly without any special statistical analysis. It is worth noting, however, that absence of variability is itself an experimental result that must be justified by sufficient data. For instance, because Pasteur's anthrax data (Table 1.1.1) show no variability at all, it is intuitively plausible to conclude that the data provide "solid" evidence for the efficacy of the vaccination. But note that this conclusion involves a judgment; consider how much *less* "solid" the evidence would be if Pasteur had included only 3 animals in each group, rather than 24. Statistical analyses can be used to make such a judgment, that is, to determine if the variability is indeed negligible. Thus, a statistical view can be helpful even in the absence of variability.

The next two examples illustrate additional questions that a statistical approach can help to answer.

Example 1.1.3

Flooding and ATP In an experiment on root metabolism, a plant physiologist grew birch tree seedlings in the greenhouse. He flooded four seedlings with water for one day and kept four others as controls. He then harvested the seedlings and analyzed the roots for adenosine triphosphate (ATP). The measured amounts of ATP (nmoles per mg tissue) are given in Table 1.1.3 and displayed in Figure 1.1.1.³



Figure 1.1.1 ATP concentration in birch tree roots

The data of Table 1.1.3 raise several questions: How should one summarize the ATP values in each experimental condition? How much information do the data provide about the effect of flooding? How confident can one be that the reduced ATP in the flooded group is really a response to flooding rather than just random variation? What size experiment would be required in order to firmly corroborate the apparent effect seen in these data?

Chapters 2, 6, and 7 address questions like those posed in Example 1.1.3. One question that we can address here is whether the data in Table 1.1.3 are consistent with the claim that flooding has no effect on ATP concentration, or instead provide significant evidence that flooding affects ATP concentrations. If the claim of no effect is true, then should we be surprised to see that all four of the flooded observations are smaller than each of the control observations? Might this happen by chance alone? If we wrote each of the numbers 1.05, 1.07, 1.19, 1.45, 1.49, 1.91, 1.70, and 2.04 on cards, shuffled the eight cards, and randomly dealt them into two piles, what is the chance that the four smallest numbers would end up in one pile and the four largest numbers in the other pile? It turns out that we could expect this to happen 1 time in 35 random shufflings, so "chance alone" would only create the kind of imbalance seen in Figure 1.1.1 about 2.9% of the time (since 1/35 = 0.029). Thus, we have some evidence that flooding has an effect on ATP concentration. We will develop this idea more fully in Chapter 7.

Example

1.1.4

MAO and Schizophrenia Monoamine oxidase (MAO) is an enzyme that is thought to play a role in the regulation of behavior. To see whether different categories of patients with schizophrenia have different levels of MAO activity, researchers collected blood specimens from 42 patients and measured the MAO activity in the platelets. The results are given in Table 1.1.4 and displayed in Figure 1.1.2. (Values are expressed as nmol benzylaldehyde product per 10^8 platelets per hour.⁴) Note that it is much easier to get a feeling for the data by looking at the graph (Figure 1.1.2) than it is to read through the data in the table. The use of graphical displays of data is a very important part of data analysis.

Table 1.1.4 MAO activity in patients with schizophrenia						
Diagnosis		MA	AO acti	vity		
I:	6.8	4.1	7.3	14.2	18.8	15 —
Chronic	9.9	7.4	11.9	5.2	7.8	
undifferentiated	7.8	8.7	12.7	14.5	10.7	ity
(18 patients)	8.4	9.7	10.6			- 01 acti
II:	7.8	4.4	11.4	3.1	4.3	IAO
Undifferentiated	10.1	1.5	7.4	5.2	10.0	Σ
with paranoid	3.7	5.5	8.5	7.7	6.8	F
features (16 patients)	3.1					5 -
III:	6.4	10.8	1.1	2.9	4.5	
Paranoid schizophrenia (8 patients)	5.8	9.4	6.8			



Figure 1.1.2 MAO activity in patients with schizophrenia

To analyze the MAO data, one would naturally want to make comparisons among the three groups of patients, to describe the reliability of those comparisons, and to characterize the variability within the groups. To go beyond the data to a biological interpretation, one must also consider more subtle issues, such as the

following: How were the patients selected? Were they chosen from a common hospital population, or were the three groups obtained at different times or places? Were precautions taken so that the person measuring the MAO was unaware of the patient's diagnosis? Did the investigators consider various ways of subdividing the patients before choosing the particular diagnostic categories used in Table 1.1.4? At first glance, these questions may seem irrelevant—can we not let the measurements speak for themselves? We will see, however, that the proper interpretation of data always requires careful consideration of how the data were obtained.

Sections 1.2 and 1.3. as well as Chapters 2 and 8, include discussions of selection of experimental subjects and of guarding against unconscious investigator bias. In Chapter 11 we will show how sifting through a data set in search of patterns can lead to serious misinterpretations and we will give guidelines for avoiding the pitfalls in such searches.

The next example shows how the effects of variability can distort the results of an experiment and how this distortion can be minimized by careful design of the experiment.

Example 1.1.5 **Food Choice by Insect Larvae** The clover root curculio, *Sitona hispidulus*, is a root-feeding pest of alfalfa. An entomologist conducted an experiment to study food choice by *Sitona* larvae. She wished to investigate whether larvae would preferentially choose alfalfa roots that were nodulated (their natural state) over roots whose nodulation had been suppressed. Larvae were released in a dish where both nodulated and nonnodulated roots were available. After 24 hours, the investigator counted the larvae that had clearly made a choice between root types. The results are shown in Table 1.1.5.⁵

The data in Table 1.1.5 appear to suggest rather strongly that *Sitona* larvae prefer nodulated roots. But our description of the experiment has obscured an important point—we have not stated how the roots were arranged. To see the relevance of the arrangement, suppose the experimenter had used only one dish, placing all the nodulated roots on one side of the dish and all the nonnodulated roots on the other side, as shown in Figure 1.1.3(a), and had then released 120 larvae in the center of the dish. This experimental arrangement would be seriously deficient, because the data of Table 1.1.5 would then permit several competing interpretations—for instance, (a) perhaps the larvae really do prefer nodulated roots; or (b) perhaps the two sides of the dish were at slightly different temperatures and the larvae were responding to temperature rather than nodulation; or (c) perhaps one larva chose the nodulated roots just by chance and the other larvae followed its trail. Because of these possibilities the experimental arrangement shown in Figure 1.1.3(a) can yield only weak information about larval food preference.

Table 1.1.5 Food choice by Sitona larvae				
Choice	Number of larvae			
Chose nodulated roots	46			
Chose nonnodulated roots	12			
Other (no choice, died, lost)	62			
Total	120			



Figure 1.1.3 Possible arrangements of food choice experiment. The dark-shaded areas contain nodulated roots and the light-shaded areas contain nonnodulated roots.

(a) A poor arrangement.

(b) A good arrangement.

The experiment was actually arranged as in Figure 1.1.3(b), using six dishes with nodulated and nonnodulated roots arranged in a symmetric pattern. Twenty larvae were released into the center of each dish. This arrangement avoids the pit-falls of the arrangement in Figure 1.1.3(a). Because of the alternating regions of nodulated and nonnodulated roots, any fluctuation in environmental conditions (such as temperature) would tend to affect the two root types equally. By using several dishes, the experimenter has generated data that can be interpreted even if the larvae do tend to follow each other. To analyze the experiment properly, we would need to know the results in each dish; the condensed summary in Table 1.1.5 is not adequate.

In Chapter 11 we will describe various ways of arranging experimental material in space and time so as to yield the most informative experiment, as well as how to analyze the data to extract as much information as possible and yet resist the temptation to overinterpret patterns that may represent only random variation.

The following example is a study of the relationship between two measured quantities.

Example

Body Size and Energy Expenditure How much food does a person need? To investigate the dependence of nutritional requirements on body size, researchers used underwater weighing techniques to determine the fat-free body mass for each of seven men. They also measured the total 24-hour energy expenditure during conditions of quiet sedentary activity; this was repeated twice for each subject. The results are shown in Table 1.1.6 and plotted in Figure 1.1.4.⁶

Table 1.1	.6 Fat-free mass a	and energy e	xpenditure
	Fat-free mass	24-hour	energy
Subject	(kg)	expenditu	ıre (kcal)
1	49.3	1,851	1,936
2	59.3	2,209	1,891
3	68.3	2,283	2,423
4	48.1	1,885	1,791
5	57.6	1,929	1,967
6	78.1	2,490	2,567
7	76.1	2,484	2,653



Figure 1.1.4 Fat-free mass and energy expenditure in seven men. Each man is represented by a different symbol.

A primary goal in the analysis of these data would be to describe the relationship between fat-free mass and energy expenditure—to characterize not only the overall trend of the relationship, but also the degree of scatter or variability in the relationship. (Note also that, to analyze the data, one needs to decide how to handle the duplicate observations on each subject.) The focus of Example 1.1.6 is on the relationship between two variables: fat-free mass and energy expenditure. Chapter 12 deals with methods for describing such relationships, and also for quantifying the reliability of the descriptions.

A LOOK AHEAD

Where appropriate, statisticians make use of the computer as a tool in data analysis; computer-generated output and statistical graphics appear throughout this book. The computer is a powerful tool, but it must be used with caution. Using the computer to perform calculations allows us to concentrate on concepts. The danger when using a computer in statistics is that we will jump straight to the calculations without looking closely at the data and asking the right questions about the data. Our goal is to analyze, understand, and interpret data—which are numbers *in a specific context*— not just to perform calculations.

In order to understand a data set it is necessary to know how and why the data were collected. In addition to considering the most widely used methods in statistical inference, we will consider issues in data collection and experimental design. Together, these topics should provide the reader with the background needed to read the scientific literature and to design and analyze simple research projects.

The preceding examples illustrate the kind of data to be considered in this book. In fact, each of the examples will reappear as an exercise or example in an appropriate chapter. As the examples show, research in the life sciences is usually concerned with the comparison of two or more groups of observations, or with the relationship between two or more variables. We will begin our study of statistics by focusing on a simpler situation—observations of a *single* variable for a *single* group. Many of the basic ideas of statistics will be introduced in this oversimplified context. Two-group comparisons and more complicated analyses will then be discussed in Chapter 7 and later chapters.

I.2 Types of Evidence

Researchers gather information and make inferences about the state of nature in a variety of settings. Much of statistics deals with the *analysis* of data, but statistical considerations often play a key role in the planning and *design* of a scientific investigation. We begin with examples of the three major kinds of evidence that one encounters.

Example

.2.1

Lightning and Deafness On 15 July 1911, 65-year-old Mrs. Jane Decker was struck by lightning while in her house. She had been deaf since birth, but after being struck, she recovered her hearing, which led to a headline in the *New York Times*, "Lightning Cures Deafness."⁷ Is this compelling evidence that lightning is a cure for deafness? Could this event have been a coincidence? Are there other explanations for her cure?

The evidence discussed in Example 1.2.1 is **anecdotal evidence**. An anecdote is a short story or an example of an interesting event, in this case, of lightning curing deafness. The accumulation of anecdotes often leads to conjecture and to scientific investigation, but it is predictable pattern, not anecdote, that establishes a scientific theory.

18 Chapter 1 Introduction

Example 1.2.2

Sexual Orientation Some research has suggested that there is a genetic basis for sexual orientation. One such study involved measuring the midsagittal area of the anterior commissure (AC) of the brain for 30 homosexual men, 30 heterosexual men, and 30 heterosexual women. The researchers found that the AC tends to be larger in heterosexual women than in heterosexual men and that it is even larger in homosexual men. These data are summarized in Table 1.2.1 and are shown graphically in Figure 1.2.1.

Table 1.2.1 Midsagit commiss	Midsagittal area of the anterior commissure (mm ²)			
Group	Average midsagittal area (mm ²) of the anterior commissure			
Homosexual men	14.20			
Heterosexual men	10.61			
Heterosexual women	12.03			



Figure 1.2.1 Midsagittal area of the anterior commissure (mm²)

The data suggest that the size of the AC in homosexual men is more like that of heterosexual women than that of heterosexual men. When analyzing these data, we should take into account two things. (1) The measurements for two of the homosexual men were much larger than any of the other measurements; sometimes one or two such outliers can have a big impact on the conclusions of a study. (2) Twentyfour of the 30 homosexual men had AIDS, as opposed to 6 of the 30 heterosexual men; if AIDS affects the size of the anterior commissure, then this factor could account for some of the difference between the two groups of men.⁸

Example 1.2.2 presents an **observational study**. In an observational study the researcher systematically collects data from subjects, but only as an observer and not as someone who is manipulating conditions. By systematically examining all the data that arise in observational studies, one can guard against selectively viewing and reporting only evidence that supports a previous view. However, observational studies can be misleading due to *confounding variables*. In Example 1.2.2 we noted that having AIDS may affect the size of the anterior commissure. We would say that the effect of AIDS is confounded with the effect of sexual orientation in this study.

Note that the *context* in which the data arose is of central importance in statistics. This is quite clear in Example 1.2.2. The numbers themselves can be used to compute averages or to make graphs, like Figure 1.2.1, but if we are to understand what the data have to say, we must have an understanding of the context in which they arose. This context tells us to be on the alert for the effects that other factors, such as the impact of AIDS, may have on the size of the anterior commissure. Data analysis without reference to context is meaningless.

Example 1.2.3

Example

1.2.4

Health and Marriage A study conducted in Finland found that people who were married at midlife were less likely to develop cognitive impairment (particularly Alzheimer's disease) later in life.⁹ However, from an observational study such as this we don't know whether marriage *prevents* later problems or whether persons who are likely to develop cognitive problems are less likely to get married.

Toxicity in Dogs Before new drugs are given to human subjects, it is common practice to first test them in dogs or other animals. In part of one study, a new investigational drug was given to eight male and eight female dogs at doses of 8 mg/kg and 25 mg/kg. Within each sex, the two doses were assigned at random to the eight dogs. Many "endpoints" were measured, such as cholesterol, sodium, glucose, and so on, from blood samples, in order to screen for toxicity problems in the dogs before starting studies on humans. One endpoint was alkaline phosphatase level (or APL, measured in U/l). The data are shown in Table 1.2.2 and plotted in Figure 1.2.2.¹⁰

Table 1.2.2 Alka	line phospha	tase level (U/l)
Dose (mg/kg)	Male	Female
8	171	150
	154	127
	104	152
	143	105
Average	143	133.5
25	80	101
	149	113
	138	161
	131	197
Average	124.5	143

Figure 1.2.2 Alkaline phosphatase level in dogs

Male

Female

The design of this experiment allows for the investigation of the interaction between two factors: sex of the dog and dose. These factors interacted in the following sense: For females, the effect of increasing the dose from 8 to 25 mg/kg was positive, although small (the average APL increased from 133.5 to 143 U/l), but for males the effect of increasing the dose from 8 to 25 mg/kg was negative (the average APL dropped from 143 to 124.5 U/l). Techniques for studying such interactions will be considered in Chapter 11.

Sex

Example 1.2.4 presents an **experiment**, in that the researchers imposed the conditions—in this case, doses of a drug—on the subjects (the dogs). By randomly assigning treatments (drug doses) to subjects (dogs), we can get around the problem of confounding that complicates observational studies and limits the conclusions that we can reach from them. Randomized experiments are considered the "gold standard" in scientific investigation, but they can also be plagued by difficulties.

Often human subjects in experiments are given a **placebo**—an inert substance, such as a sugar pill. It is well known that people often exhibit a *placebo response*; that is, they tend to respond favorably to *any* treatment, even if it is only inert. This psychological effect can be quite powerful. Research has shown that placebos are effective for roughly one-third of people who are in pain; that is, one-third of pain sufferers report their pain ending after being giving a "painkiller" that is, in fact, an inert pill. For diseases such as bronchial asthma, angina pectoris (recurrent chest pain caused by decreased blood flow to the heart), and ulcers, the use of placebos has been shown to produce clinically beneficial results in over 60% of patients.¹¹ Of course, if a placebo control is used, then the subjects must not be told which group they are in—the group getting the active treatment or the group getting the placebo.

Example 1.2.5

Autism Autism is a serious condition in which children withdraw from normal social interactions and sometimes engage in aggressive or repetitive behavior. In 1997, an autistic child responded remarkably well to the digestive enzyme secretin. This led to an experiment (a "clinical trial") in which secretin was compared to a placebo. In this experiment, children who were given secretin improved considerably. However, the children given the placebo also improved considerably. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups. Thus, the favorable response in the secretin group was considered to be only a "placebo response," meaning, unfortunately, that secretin was not found to be beneficial (beyond inducing a positive response associated simply with taking a substance as part of an experiment).¹²

The word *placebo* means "I shall please." The word *nocebo* ("I shall harm") is sometimes used to describe adverse reactions to perceived, but nonexistent, risks. The following example illustrates the strength that psychological effects can have.

Example 1.2.6

Bronchial Asthma A group of patients suffering from bronchial asthma were given a substance that they were told was a chest-constricting chemical. After being given this substance, several of the patients experienced bronchial spasms. However, during part of the experiment, the patients were given a substance that they were told would alleviate their symptoms. In this case, bronchial spasms were prevented. In reality, the second substance was identical to the first substance: Both were distilled water. It appears that it was the power of suggestion that brought on the bronchial spasms; the same power of suggestion prevented spasms.¹³

Similar to placebo treatment is *sham* treatment, which can be used on animals as well as humans. An example of sham treatment is injecting control animals with an inert substance such as saline. In some studies of surgical treatments, control animals (even, occasionally, humans) are given a "mock" surgery.

Example 1.2.7

Renal Denervation A surgical procedure called "renal denervation" was developed to help people with hypertension who do not respond to medication. An early study suggested that renal denervation (which uses radiotherapy to destroy some nerves in arteries feeding the kidney) reduces blood pressure. In that experiment, patients who received surgery had an average improvement in systolic blood pressure of 33 mmHg more than did control patients who received no surgery. Later an experiment was conducted in which patients were randomly assigned to one of two groups. Patients in

the treatment group received the renal denervation surgery. Patients in the control group received a sham operation in which a catheter was inserted, as in the real operation, but 20 minutes later the catheter was removed *without* radiotherapy being used. These patients had no way of knowing that their operation was a sham. The rates of improvement in the two groups of patients were nearly identical.¹⁴

BLINDING

In experiments on humans, particularly those that involve the use of placebos, **blinding** is often used. This means that the treatment assignment is kept secret from the experimental subject. The purpose of blinding the subject is to minimize the extent to which his or her expectations influence the results of the experiment. If subjects exhibit a psychological reaction to getting a medication, that placebo response will tend to balance out between the two groups so that any difference between the groups can be attributed to the effect of the active treatment.

In many experiments the persons who evaluate the responses of the subjects are also kept blind; that is, during the experiment they are kept ignorant of the treatment assignment. Consider, for instance, the following:

In a study to compare two treatments for lung cancer, a radiologist reads X-rays to evaluate each patient's progress. The X-ray films are coded so that the radiologist cannot tell which treatment each patient received.

Mice are fed one of three diets; the effects on their liver are assayed by a research assistant who does not know which diet each mouse received.

Of course, *someone* needs to keep track of which subject is in which group, but that person should not be the one who measures the response variable. The most obvious reason for blinding the person making the evaluations is to reduce the possibility of subjective bias influencing the observation process itself: Someone who *expects* or *wants* certain results may unconsciously influence those results. Such bias can enter even apparently "objective" measurements through subtle variation in dissection techniques, titration procedures, and so on.

In medical studies of human beings, blinding often serves additional purposes. For one thing, a patient must be asked whether he or she consents to participate in a medical study. Suppose the physician who asks the question already knows which treatment the patient will receive. By discouraging certain patients and encouraging others, the physician can (consciously or unconsciously) create noncomparable treatment groups. The effect of such biased assignment can be surprisingly large, and it has been noted that it generally favors the "new" or "experimental" treatment.¹⁵ Another reason for blinding in medical studies is that a physician may (consciously or unconsciously) provide more psychological encouragement, or even better care, to the patients who are receiving the treatment that the physician regards as superior.

An experiment in which both the subjects and the persons making the evaluations of the response are blinded is called a **double-blind** experiment. The first mammary artery ligation experiment described in Example 1.2.7 was conducted as a double-blind experiment.

THE NEED FOR CONTROL GROUPS

Example 1.2.8 **Clofibrate** An experiment was conducted in which subjects were given the drug clofibrate, which was intended to lower cholesterol and reduce the chance of death from coronary disease. The researchers noted that many of the subjects did not take all the medication that the experimental protocol called for them to take. They

calculated the percentage of the prescribed capsules that each subject took and divided the subjects into two groups according to whether or not the subjects took at least 80% of the capsules they were given. Table 1.2.3 shows that the 5-year mortality rate for those who took at least 80% of their capsules was much lower than the corresponding rate for subjects who took fewer than 80% of the capsules. On the surface, this suggests that taking the medication lowers the chance of death. However, there was a placebo control group in the experiment and many of the placebo subjects took fewer than 80% of their capsules. The mortality rates for the two placebo groups—those who adhered to the protocol and those who did not—are quite similar to the rates for the clofibrate groups.

Table 1.2.3	2.3 Mortality rates for the clofibrate experiment					
		Clofibrate		Placebo		
Adherence	п	<i>n</i> 5-year mortality		5-year mortality		
≥80%	708	15.0%	1813	15.1%		
<80%	357	24.6%	882	28.2%		

The clofibrate experiment seems to indicate that there are two kinds of subjects: those who adhere to the protocol and those who do not. The first group had a much lower mortality rate than the second group. This might be due simply to better health habits among people who show stronger adherence to a scientific protocol for 5 years than among people who only adhere weakly, if at all. A further conclusion from the experiment is that clofibrate does not appear to be any more effective than placebo in reducing the death rate. Were it not for the presence of the placebo control group, the researchers might well have drawn the wrong conclusion from the study and attributed the lower death rate among strong adherers to clofibrate itself, rather than to other confounded effects that make the strong adherers different from the nonadherers.¹⁶

Example 1.2.9

The Common Cold Many years ago, investigators invited university students who believed themselves to be particularly susceptible to the common cold to be part of an experiment. Volunteers were randomly assigned to either the treatment group, in which case they took capsules of an experimental vaccine, or to the control group, in which case they were told that they were taking a vaccine, but in fact were given a placebo—capsules that looked like the vaccine capsules but that contained lactose in place of the vaccine.¹⁷ As shown in Table 1.2.4, both groups reported having dramatically fewer colds during the study than they had had in the previous year. The average number of colds per person dropped 70% in the treatment group. This would have been startling evidence that the vaccine had an effect, except that the corresponding drop in the control group was 69%.

Table 1.2.4 Number of colds in cold-vaccine experiment				
Vaccine Placeb				
n	201	203		
Average number of colds Previous year (from memory)	5.6	5.2		
Current year	1.7	1.6		
% reduction	70%	69%		

We can attribute much of the large drop in colds in Example 1.2.9 to the placebo effect. However, another statistical concern is **panel bias**, which is bias attributable to the study having influenced the behavior of the subjects—that is, people who know they are being studied often change their behavior. The students in this study reported from memory the number of colds they had suffered in the previous year. The fact that they were part of a study might have influenced their behavior so that they were less likely to catch a cold during the study. Being in a study might also have affected the way in which they defined having a cold—during the study, they were "instructed to report to the health service whenever a cold developed"—so that some illness may have gone unreported during the study. (How sick do you have to be before you classify yourself as having a cold?)

Example 1.2.10

Diet and Cancer Prevention A diet that is high in fruits and vegetables may yield many health benefits, but how can we be sure? During the 1990s, the medical community believed that such a diet would reduce the risk of cancer. This belief was based on comparisons from **case-control studies**. In such studies patients with cancer were matched with "control subjects"—persons of the same age, race, sex, and so on—who did not have cancer; then the diets of the two groups were compared, and it was found that the control patients ate more fruits and vegetables than did the cancer patients. This would seem to indicate that cancer rates go down as consumption of fruits and vegetables goes up. The use of case-control studies is quite sensible because it allows researchers to make comparisons (e.g., of diets, etc.) while taking into consideration important characteristics such as age.

Nonetheless, a case-control study is not perfect. Not all people agree to be interviewed and to complete health information surveys, and these individuals thus might be excluded from a case-control study. People who agree to be interviewed about their health are generally more healthy than those who decline to participate. In addition to eating more fruits and vegetables than the average person, they are also less likely to smoke and more likely to exercise.¹⁸ Thus, even though case-control studies took into consideration age, race, and other characteristics, they overstated the benefits of fruits and vegetables. The observed benefits are likely also the result of other healthy lifestyle factors.* Drawing a cause–effect conclusion that fruit and vegetable consumption protects against cancer is dangerous.

HISTORICAL CONTROLS

Researchers may be particularly reluctant to use randomized allocation in medical experiments on human beings. Suppose, for instance, that researchers want to evaluate a promising new treatment for a certain illness. It can be argued that it would be unethical to withhold the treatment from any patients, and that therefore all current patients should receive the new treatment. But then who would serve as a control group? One possibility is to use historical controls—that is, previous patients with the same illness who were treated with another therapy. One difficulty with historical controls is that there is often a tendency for later patients to show a better response—even to the same therapy—than earlier patients with the same diagnosis. This tendency has been confirmed, for instance, by comparing experiments conducted at the same medical centers in different years.¹⁹ One major reason for the tendency is that the overall characteristics of the patient population may change with time. For

^{*}A more informative kind of study is a prospective study or cohort study in which people with varying diets are followed over time to see how many of them develop cancer; however, such a study can be difficult to carry out.

instance, because diagnostic techniques tend to improve, patients with a given diagnosis (say, breast cancer) in 2001 may have a better chance of recovery (even with the same treatment) than those with the same diagnosis in 1991 because they were diagnosed earlier in the course of the disease. This is one reason that patients diagnosed with kidney cancer in 1995 had a 61% chance of surviving for at least 5 years but those with the same diagnosis in 2005 had a 75% 5-year survival rate.²⁰

Medical researchers do not agree on the validity and value of historical controls. The following example illustrates the importance of this controversial issue.

Example

Coronary Artery Disease Disease of the coronary arteries is often treated by surgery (such as bypass surgery), but it can also be treated with drugs only. Many studies have attempted to evaluate the effectiveness of surgical treatment for this common disease. In a review of 29 of these studies, each study was classified as to whether it used randomized controls or historical controls; the conclusions of the 29 studies are summarized in Table 1.2.5.²¹

Table 1.2.5 Coronary artery disease studies							
Conclusion about effectiveness of surgery							
Type of controls	Effective	Not effective	Total number of studies				
Randomized	1	7	8				
Historical	16	5	21				

It would appear from Table 1.2.5 that enthusiasm for surgery is much more common among researchers who use historical controls than among those who use randomized controls.

Example

Healthcare Trials A medical intervention, such as a new surgical procedure or drug, will often be used at one time in a nonrandomized clinical trial and at another time in a clinical trial of patients with the same condition who are assigned to groups randomly. Nonrandomized trials, which include the use of historical controls, tend to overstate the effectiveness of interventions. One analysis of many pairs of studies found that the nonrandomized trial showed a larger intervention effect than the corresponding randomized trial 22 times out of 26 comparisons; see Table 1.2.6.²² Researchers concluded that overestimates of effectiveness are "due to poorer prognosis in non-randomly selected control groups compared with randomly selected control groups."²³ That is, if you give a new drug to relatively healthy patients and compare them to very sick patients taking the standard drug, the new drug is going to look better than it really is.

Even when randomization is used, trials may or may not be run double-blind. A review of 250 controlled trials found that trials that were not run double-blind produced significantly larger estimates of treatment effects than did trials that were double-blind.²⁴

Table 1.2.6 Randomized versus nonrandomized trials					
	Larger estimate of effect of the (common) intervention				
Not randomized Randomized Tota					
Number of studies	22	4	26		

Proponents of the use of historical controls argue that statistical adjustment can provide meaningful comparison between a current group of patients and a group of historical controls; for instance, if the current patients are younger than the historical controls, then the data can be analyzed in a way that adjusts, or corrects, for the effect of age. Critics reply that such adjustment may be grossly inadequate.

The concept of historical controls is not limited to medical studies. The issue arises whenever a researcher compares current data with past data. Whether the data are from the lab, the field, or the clinic, the researcher must confront the question: Can the past and current results be meaningfully compared? One should always at least ask whether the experimental material, and/or the environmental conditions, may have changed enough over time to distort the comparison.

Exercises 1.2.1–1.2.10

1.2.1 Fluoridation of drinking water has long been a controversial issue in the United States. One of the first communities to add fluoride to their water was Newburgh, New York. In March 1944, a plan was announced to begin to add fluoride to the Newburgh water supply on April 1 of that year. During the month of April, citizens of Newburgh complained of digestive problems, which were attributed to the fluoridation of the water. However, there had been a delay in the installation of the fluoridation equipment so that fluoridation did not begin until May 2.²⁵ Explain how the placebo effect/nocebo effect is related to this example.

1.2.2 Olestra is a no-calorie, no-fat additive that is used in the production of some potato chips. After the Food and Drug Administration approved the use of olestra, some consumers complained that olestra caused stomach cramps and diarrhea. A randomized, double-blind experiment was conducted in which some subjects were given bags of potato chips made with olestra and other subjects were given ordinary potato chips. In the olestra group, 38% of the subjects reported having gastrointestinal symptoms. However, in the group given regular potato chips the corresponding percentage was 37%. (The two percentages are not statistically significantly different.)²⁶ Explain how the placebo effect/nocebo effect is related to this example. Also explain why it was important for this experiment to be double-blind.

1.2.3 (Hypothetical) In a study of acupuncture, patients with headaches are randomly divided into two groups. One group is given acupuncture and the other group is given aspirin. The acupuncturist evaluates the effectiveness of the acupuncture and compares it to the results from the aspirin group. Explain how lack of blinding biases the experiment in favor of acupuncture.

1.2.4 Randomized, controlled experiments have found that vitamin C is not effective in treating terminal cancer patients.²⁷ However, a 1976 research paper reported that terminal cancer patients given vitamin C survived much

longer than did historical controls. The patients treated with vitamin C were selected by surgeons from a group of cancer patients in a hospital.²⁸ Explain how this experiment was biased in favor of vitamin C.

1.2.5 On 3 November 2009, the blog lifehacker.com contained a posting by an individual with chronic toenail fungus. He remarked that after many years of suffering and trying all sorts of cures, he resorted to sanding his toenail as thin as he could tolerate, followed by daily application of vinegar and hydrogen-peroxide-soaked bandaids on his toenail. He repeated the vinegar peroxide bandaging for 100 days. After this time his nail grew out and the fungus was gone. Using the language of statistics, what kind of evidence is this? Is this convincing evidence that this procedure is an effective cure of toenail fungus?

1.2.6 For each of the following cases [(a) (b)],

- (I) state whether the study should be observational or experimental.
- (II) state whether the study should be run blind, doubleblind, or neither. If the study should be run blind or double-blind, who should be blinded?
 - (a) An investigation of whether taking aspirin reduces one's chance of having a heart attack.
 - (b) An investigation of whether babies born into poor families (family income below \$25,000) are more likely to weigh less than 5.5 pounds at birth than babies born into wealthy families (family income above \$65,000).
- **1.2.7** For each of the following cases [(a) and (b)],
- (I) state whether the study should be observational or experimental.
- (II) state whether the study should be run blind, doubleblind, or neither. If the study should be run blind or double-blind, who should be blinded?
 - (a) An investigation of whether the size of the midsagittal plane of the anterior commissure

(a part of the brain) of a man is related to the sexual orientation of the man.

(b) An investigation of whether drinking more than 1 liter of water per day helps with weight loss for people who are trying to lose weight.

1.2.8 (Hypothetical) In order to assess the effectiveness of a new fertilizer, researchers applied the fertilizer to the tomato plants on the west side of a garden but did not fertilize the plants on the east side of the garden. They later measured the weights of the tomatoes produced by each plant and found that the fertilized plants grew larger tomatoes than did the nonfertilized plants. They concluded that the fertilizer works.

- (a) Was this an experiment or an observational study? Why?
- (b) This study is seriously flawed. Use the language of statistics to explain the flaw and how this affects the validity of the conclusion reached by the researchers.

(c) Could this study have used the concept of blinding (i.e., does the word "blind" apply to this study)? If so, how? Could it have been double-blind? If so, how?

1.2.9 Reseachers studied 1,718 persons over age 65 living in North Carolina. They found that those who attended religious services regularly were more likely to have strong immune systems (as determined by the blood levels of the protein interleukin-6) than those who didn't.²⁹ Does this mean that attending religious services improves one's health? Why or why not?

1.2.10 Researchers studied 300,818 golfers in Sweden and found that the "standardized mortality ratios" for golfers, adjusting for age, sex, and socioeconomic status, were lower than for nongolfers, meaning that golfers tend to live longer.³⁰ Does this mean that playing golf improves one's health? Why or why not?

1.3 Random Sampling

In order to address research questions with data, we first must consider how those data are to be gathered. How we gather our data has tremendous implications on our choice of analysis methods and even on the validity of our studies. In this section we will examine some common types of data-gathering methods with special emphasis on the **simple random sample**.

SAMPLES AND POPULATIONS

Before gathering data, we first consider the scope of our study by identifying the **population**. The population consists of all subjects/animals/specimens/plants, and so on, of interest. The following are all examples of populations:

- All birch tree seedlings in Florida
- All raccoons in Montaña de Oro State Park
- All people with schizophrenia in the United States
- All 100-ml water specimens in Chorro Creek

Typically we are unable to observe the entire population; therefore, we must be content with gathering data from a subset of the population, a **sample** of size n. From this sample we make inferences about the population as a whole (see Figure 1.3.1). The following are all examples of samples:

• A selection of eight (n = 8) Florida birch seedlings grown in a greenhouse.



Figure 1.3.1 Sampling from a population

- Thirteen (n = 13) raccoons captured in traps at the Montaña de Oro campground.
- Forty-two (n = 42) patients with schizophrenia who respond to an advertisement in a U.S. newspaper.
- Ten (n = 10) 100-ml vials of water collected one day at 10 locations along Chorro Creek.

Remark There is some potential for confusion between the statistical meaning of the term *sample* and the sense in which this word is sometimes used in biology. If a biologist draws blood from 20 people and measures the glucose concentration in each, she might say she has 20 samples of blood. However, the statistician says she has *one* sample of 20 glucose measurements; the sample size is n = 20. In the interest of clarity, throughout this book we will use the term *specimen* where a biologist might prefer *sample*. So we would speak of glucose measurements on a sample of 20 specimens of blood.

Ideally our sample will be a representative subset of the population; however, unless we are careful, we may end up obtaining a **biased** sample. A biased sample systematically overestimates or systematically underestimates a characteristic of the population. For example, consider the raccoons from the sample described previously that are captured in traps at a campground. These raccoons may systematically differ from the population; they may be larger (from having ample access to food from dumpsters and campers), less timid (from being around people who feed them), and may be even longer lived than the general population of raccoons in the entire park.

One method to ensure that samples will be (in the long run) representative of the population is to use random sampling.

DEFINITION OF A SIMPLE RANDOM SAMPLE

Informally, the process of obtaining a simple random sample can be visualized in terms of labeled tickets, such as those used in a lottery or raffle. Suppose that each member of the population (e.g., raccoon, patient, plant) is represented by one ticket, and that the tickets are placed in a large box and thoroughly mixed. Then n tickets are drawn from the box by a blindfolded assistant, with new mixing after each ticket is removed. These n tickets constitute the sample. (Equivalently, we may visualize that n assistants reach in the box simultaneously, each assistant drawing one ticket.)

More abstractly, we may define random sampling as follows.

– A Simple Random Sample

A simple random sample of n items is a sample in which (a) every member of the population has the same chance of being included in the sample, and (b) the members of the sample are chosen independently of each other. [Requirement (b) means that the chance of a given member of the population being chosen does not depend on which other members are chosen.]*

^{*}Technically, requirement (b) is that every pair of members of the population has the same chance of being selected for the sample, every group of 3 members of the population has the same chance of being selected for the sample, and so on. In contrast to this, suppose we had a population with 30 persons in it and we wrote the names of 3 persons on each of 10 tickets. We could then choose one ticket in order to get a sample of size n = 3, but this would not be a simple random sample, since the pair (1,2) could end up in the sample but the pair (1,4) could not. Here the selections of members of the sample are not independent of each other. (This kind of sampling is known as "cluster sampling," with 10 clusters of size 3.) If the population is infinite, then the technical definition that all subsets of a given size are equally likely to be selected as part of the sample is equivalent to the requirement that the members of the sample are chosen independently.

Simple random sampling can be thought of in other, equivalent, ways. We may envision the sample members being chosen one at a time from the population; under simple random sampling, at each stage of the drawing, every remaining member of the population is equally likely to be the next one chosen. Another view is to consider the totality of possible samples of size n. If all possible samples are equally likely to be obtained, then the process gives a simple random sample.

EMPLOYING RANDOMNESS

When conducting statistical investigations, we will need to make use of randomness. As previously discussed, we obtain simple random samples randomly—every member of the population has the same chance of being selected. In Chapter 7 we shall discuss experiments in which we wish to compare the effects of different treatments on members of a sample. To conduct these experiments we will have to assign the treatments to subjects randomly—so that every subject has the same chance of receiving treatment A as they do treatment B.

Unfortunately, as a practical matter, humans are not very capable of mentally employing randomness. We are unable to eliminate unconscious bias that often leads us to systematically exclude or include certain individuals in our sample (or at least decrease or increase the chance of choosing certain individuals). For this reason, we must use external resources for selecting individuals when we want a random sample: mechanical devices such as dice, coins, and lottery tickets; electronic devices that produce random digits such as computers and calculators; or tables of random digits such as Table 1 in the back of this book. Although straightforward, using mechanical devices such as tickets in a box is impractical, so we will focus on the use of random digits for sample selection.

HOW TO CHOOSE A RANDOM SAMPLE

The following is a simple procedure for choosing a random sample of *n* items from a finite population of items.

- (a) Create the **sampling frame**: a list of all members of the population with unique identification numbers for each member. All identification numbers must have the same number of digits; for instance, if the population contains 75 items, the identification numbers could be $01, 02, \ldots, 75$.
- (b) Read numbers from Table 1, a calculator, or computer. Reject any numbers that do not correspond to any population member. (For example, if the population has 75 items that have been assigned identification numbers 01, 02, ..., 75, then skip over the numbers 76, 77, ..., 99, and 00.) Continue until *n* numbers have been acquired. (Ignore any repeated occurrence of the same number.)
- (c) The population members with the chosen identification numbers constitute the sample.

The following example illustrates this procedure.

Example 1.3.1 Suppose we are to choose a random sample of size 6 from a population of 75 members. Label the population members $01, 02, \ldots, 75$. Use Table 1, a calculator, or a computer to generate a string of random digits.* For example, our calculator might produce the following string:

 $8\,3\,8\,7\,1\,7\,9\,4\,0\,1\,6\,2\,5\,3\,4\,5\,9\,7\,5\,3\,9\,8\,2\,2$

^{*}Most calculators generate random numbers expressed as decimal numbers between 0 and 1; to convert these to random digits, simply ignore the leading zero and decimal and read the digits that follow the decimal. To generate a long string of random digits, simply call the random number function on the calculator repeatedly.

As we examine two-digit pairs of numbers, we ignore numbers greater than 75 as well as any pairs that identify a previously chosen individual.

83 87 17 94 01 62 53 45 97 53 98 22

Thus, the population members with the following identification numbers will constitute the sample: 17, 01, 62, 53, 45, 22.

Remark In calling the digits in Table 1 or your calculator or computer *random* digits, we are using the term *random* loosely. Strictly speaking, random digits are digits produced by a random *process*—for example, tossing a 10-sided die. The digits in Table 1 or in your calculator or computer are actually *pseudorandom* digits; they are generated by a deterministic (although possibly very complex) process that is designed to produce sequences of digits that mimic randomly generated sequences.

Remark If the population is large, then computer software can be quite helpful in generating a sample. If you need a random sample of size 15 from a population with 2,500 members, have the computer (or calculator) generate 15 random numbers between 1 and 2,500. (If there are duplicates in the set of 15, then go back and get more random numbers.)

PRACTICAL CONCERNS WHEN RANDOM SAMPLING

In many cases, obtaining a proper simple random sample is difficult or impossible. For example, to obtain a random sample of raccoons from Montaña de Oro State Park, one would first have to create the sampling frame, which provides a unique number for each raccoon in the park. Then, after generating the list of random numbers to identify our sample, one would have to capture those particular raccoons. This is likely an impossible task.

In practice, when it is possible to obtain a proper random sample, one should. When a proper random sample is impractical, it is important to take all precautions to ensure that the subjects in the study may be viewed as if they were obtained by random sampling from some population. That is, the sample should be comprised of individuals that all have the same chance of being selected from the population, and the individuals should be chosen independently. To do this, the first step is to define the population. The next step is to scrutinize the procedure by which the observational units are selected and to ask: Could the *observations* have been chosen at random? With the raccoon example, this might mean that we first define the population of raccoons by creating a sharp geographic boundary based on raccoon habitat and place traps at randomly chosen locations within the population habitat using a variety of baits and trap sizes. (We could use random numbers to generate latitude and longitude coordinates within the population habitat.) Although still less than ideal (some raccoons might be trap shy, and baby raccoons may not enter the traps at all), this is certainly better than simply capturing raccoons at one nonrandomly chosen atypical location (e.g., the campground) within the park. Presumably, the vast majority of raccoons now have the same chance of being trapped (i.e., equally likely to be selected), and capturing one raccoon has little or no bearing on the capture of any other (i.e., they can be considered to be independently chosen). Thus, it seems reasonable to treat the observations as if they were chosen at random.

NONSIMPLE RANDOM SAMPLING METHODS

There are other kinds of sampling that are random in a sense, but that are not simple. Two common nonsimple random sampling techniques are the **random cluster sample** and **stratified random sample**. To illustrate the concept of a cluster sample, consider a modification to the lottery method of generating a simple random sample. With cluster sampling, rather than assigning a unique ticket (or ID number) for each member of the population, IDs are assigned to entire groups of individuals. As tickets are drawn from the box, entire groups of individuals are selected for the sample as in the following example and Figure 1.3.2.



Figure 1.3.2 Random cluster sampling. The dots represent individuals within the population that are grouped into clusters (circles). Individuals in entire clusters are sampled from the population to form the sample.

Example 1.3.2

La Graciosa Thistle The La Graciosa thistle (*Cirsium loncholepis*) is an endangered plant native to the Guadalupe Dunes on the central coast of California. In a seed germination study, 30 plants were randomly chosen from the population of plants in the Guadalupe Dunes and all seeds from the 30 plants were harvested. The seeds form a cluster sample from the population of all La Graciosa thistle seeds in Guadalupe while the individual plants were used to identify the clusters.³¹

A stratified random sample is chosen by first dividing the population into **strata**—homogeneous collections of individuals. Then, many simple random samples are taken—one within each stratum—and combined to comprise the sample (see Figure 1.3.3). The following is an example of a stratified random sample.

Population íon ú п п Sample Λ 쇼 0 ° 0 п Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ 0 0 0

0 0

Figure 1.3.3 Stratified random sampling. The dots represent individuals within the population that are grouped into strata. Individuals from each stratum are randomly sampled and combined to form the sample.